Tentative Rulings

Law & Motion and Family Law Calendar for March 22, 2010

March 18, 2010, 4:00p.m.

Judge Janet Hilde Department Two

To request a hearing on any matter on this calendar, you must call the Court at 530/283-6305 by 12:00 noon, March 19th, notice of the intention to appear must also be given to all other parties. If the clerk is not notified of a party's intention to appear, there will be no hearing and the tentative ruling becomes the order of the court.

<u>Probate – 9:00a.m.</u>

Case No. PR97-6230 - Estate of Keeling

Tentative Ruling: **Granted.** The court finds that notice has been given as required by law. The court grants the request for appointment of successor custodian, and appoints Lory Minyen as successor custodian.

Case No. PR10-00006 - Matter of Olsen

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court finds that notice has been given as required by law. However, the declaration in the inventory and appraisal has not been signed by the petitioners. In addition, the statement about the bond in the inventory and appraisal has not been signed by the attorney or the petitioners. The court continues this matter to April 26, 2010, in order to allow an amended inventory and appraisal to be filed. Upon filing, the court intends to grant the petition to determine succession to real and personal property.

Case No. PR10-00003 - Matter of Rouse

Tentative Ruling: **Granted.** The court finds that notice has been given as required by law. The court grants the petition to determine succession to real property.

Civil - 9:30a.m.

Case No. CV09-00065 – Adams vs. Dept. of Fish and Game

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery is continued to April 12, 2010 at 9:30a.m., at the request of plaintiff.

<u>Case No. CV09-00306 - California-Engels Mining Co. vs. Sierra Pacific Industries</u>

Tentative Ruling:

Case No. CV10-00103 – Griffin vs. KG Walters Construction

Tentative Ruling: **Granted**. Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery responses is granted, and sanctions are ordered in the amount of \$550. No opposition to the motion was filed. Plaintiff's counsel is to provide the court with the Order.

Case No. CV10-00065 - In Re Minor's Compromise of Gilson

Tentative Ruling: **Approved.** Petitioner's petition to approve compromise of pending action is granted. Respondent's counsel is to provide the court with the Order.

Case No. CV07-27377 - Yandell vs. Griffith

Tentative Ruling: Plaintiff's motion to compel further answers to interrogatories is **denied**, and sanctions are ordered against plaintiff and/or plaintiff's counsel in the amount of \$1750.00. Plaintiff's use of form interrogatories renders each of the questions in plaintiff's motion vague, ambiguous, unintelligible, oppressive and burdensome. Defendant's motion to compel compliance with defendant's request to produce is **granted**, **in part**, **and denied**, **in part**. Plaintiff is ordered to comply with Production Request 33, 36, 37, 38, and serve defendant within 10 days. Plaintiff is not ordered to comply with Production Request 25, 26, 27, 28, 32. No sanctions are ordered.

Family Law – 10:30a.m.

Case No. FL10-00019 - Mar. of Barker

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will hear the results of mediation, and set the matter for a contested hearing, if necessary.

Case No. FL10-00010 - Mar. of Barker

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will hear the results of mediation, and set the matter for a contested hearing, if necessary.

Case No. FL09-00092 - Mar. of Brokaw

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** If settlement documents are received prior to the court date, this matter may be taken off calendar.

Case No. FL03-23975 - Mar. of Crites

Tentative Ruling: Appearance required.

Case No. FL10-00044 – Dixon vs. Boster

Tentative Ruling: Appearance required.

Case No. FL10-00001 – Edumonds vs. Stephens

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.**

Case No. FL009-00042 – Ferreboeuf vs. Gilbert

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will review the visitation orders.

Case No. FL08-27974 - Mar. of Kohler

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will set this matter for a contested hearing.

Case No. FL10-00013 – Mar. of Mattingly

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will order the parties to mediation.

Case No. FL09-00302 and FL09-00294 – Mar. of Runge

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will schedule a contested hearing.

Case No. FL08-28542 – Mar. of Uchida

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will set a trial date, if necessary.

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE TENTATIVE RULINGS

Case No. CV09-00240 – Beatley vs. County of Plumas

Tentative Ruling: [Judicial disclosure: Judge Hilde has recused herself from hearing this matter, except for case management conferences.] **Appearance required.** The parties should be prepared to discuss ADR options.

Case No. LC09-00149 - Corey vs. Allied Insurance

Tentative Ruling: Appearance required.

Case No. CV09-00130 - Discover Bank vs. Martinez

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will hold a hearing on the OSC for plaintiff's counsel's failure to appear on 2/22/10.

Case No. CV09-00039 – Freedman vs. Villa

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** If a stipulated judgment or dismissal is filed prior to the court hearing, this matter may be taken off calendar.

Case No. FL08-00105 - Gardner vs. Olson

Tentative Ruling: Appearance required.

Case No. CV09-00247 – Ray vs. Storton

Tentative Ruling: [Judicial disclosure: Judge Hilde is well acquainted and socializes with Attorney Peter Hentschel.] **Appearance required.** The parties should be prepared to discuss ADR options and set a trial date.

Case No. LC09-Q00086 - Withrow vs. Albarran

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** If settlement documents are submitted prior to the hearing date, this matter may be taken off calendar.

Case No. CV09-00205 – CA Dept. of Forestry vs. Howell

Tentative Ruling: Appearance required.

Case No. LC09-00233 – Capital One vs. Lake

Tentative Ruling: Appearance required.

Case No. CV09-00229 - Citibank vs. Martinez

Tentative Ruling: Appearance required.

Case No. CV09-00252 – Citibank vs. Williams

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** This matter is continued to September 20, 2010, at 2:00p.m., pursuant to Rule 3.7430(f). If the plaintiff has not obtained a default judgment by said date, this matter will be set for an order to show cause and sanctions may be imposed.

Case No. CV09-00053 - Grant & Weber Corp vs. Cameron

Tentative Ruling: Appearance required.

Case No. CV09-00099 – Maynard vs. Sierra Valley Truss

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will hear the results of mediation.

Case No. CV08-28100 - Mar. of Nord

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will discuss mediation, the name of the mediator, and the date for mediation.

Case No. CV09-00243 – Owens vs. Kerns

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The parties should be prepared to discuss ADR options and set a trial date.

Case No. CV09-00220 - Pierson vs. Stetler

Tentative Ruling: **No appearance required.** The court has received a notice of settlement of entire case. This matter is continued to April 26, 2010, at 2:00p.m. If the minor's compromise is approved prior to this date, this matter may be taken off calendar.

Case No. LC09-00137 – Simmons First National Bank v. Schwier

Tentative Ruling: **Appearance required.** The court will set this matter for a trial.